

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,985	02/15/2002	Melissa Marie Klemish	DP-304828 9253	
22851	7590 05/05/200	EXAMINER		
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. M/C 480-410-202			FILE, ERIN M	
PO BOX 5052 TROY, MI 48007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/075,985	KLEMISH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Erin M. File	2634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 F	ebruary 2002.	•			
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	,					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims		•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>10, 11</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,5,7 is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 2-4,6,8 and 9 is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 15 February 2002 is/ard	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
· -	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
	application from the International Burea	•	Leiveu III tilis National Stage			
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • •	eived.			
Attachmei	nt(s)					
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum				
3) 🛛 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/2003</u> .		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khan.

Khan discloses a method of generating pulse width modulated (PWM) signals including constructing a lookup table for Pulse Width Modulation values in which modulation indices are used to generate an ordered list of the length duration of the high and low pulse width values. Because the duty cycles are created in order, there is no need for the cycles to be ordered in the table generation (2. PWM Technique, 3. Look-Up-Tables Construction Technique, p. 1). The PWM waveform generation occurs when a comparator compares the modulation index values (which are directly correlated to the duty cycle) and a counter. An interrupt is used to create the waveforms because the waveform creation is disclosed as an interrupt subroutine (5. System Software and Operation). The modulation index value is used to retrieve the high and low pulse

Art Unit: 2634

duration to create the desired waveform (5.1 Waveform Generation). After the creation of the waveform creation the table pointer is incremented and when the counter is overflowed (reaches the maximum value) the pointer is reset to zero (5. System Software and Operation)

Claim 5, inherits the limitation of Claim 1. As the sorting of the duty cycles is done in the creation of the duty cycle tables, it can be said that this operation takes place as a background operation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7, the recitation beginning on line 7, "a capture and compare module in communication with said microprocessor" is unclear in meaning is rendered vague and indefinite. It is not clear from the claim what is being captured, or what is being compared in this module.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,985 Page 4

Art Unit: 2634

5. Claims 2-4, 6, 8, 9 are objected to as dependent upon rejected Claims, however,

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is (571)272-6040.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)272-3056. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin M. File

4/20/2005

STEPHEN CHIN UPERVISORY PATRAT EXAMI

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600